YEAR 2 PROGRESS REPORT FOR BENCH-TO-BEDSIDE (BtB) PROJECT

<u>Instructions:</u> Please complete this form and upload it in proposalCentral (https://proposalcentral.altum.com/default.asp). Instructions for uploading this form and accompanying materials (e.g., publications) in proposalCentral can be found on pages 1 – 4 of the Post Award Instruction Manual (https://proposalcentral.altum.com/Instructions_Award_Info.pdf).

Please contact proposalCentral (1-800-875-2562) with proposalCentral-related questions, or Hana Smith (benchtobedside@nih.gov) with proposalCentral or program-related questions.

Project Title:		
Years of Award:		
Lead Intramural PI:		
Name:		
Degree:		
Institute:		
Lead Extramural PI:		
Name:		
Degree:		
Institution:		
Please list other associated	ciate investigators:	
	Name	Institution

Part 1 of 5: Progress Report Summary

Instructions: The summary of your progress should not exceed two pages, exclusive of tables and figures. The report should follow the outline and numbering system shown below.

A. Studies and Results

Describe the accomplishments toward each specific aim during Year 2, including the results obtained.

B. Significance

Emphasize the significance of the findings to the scientific field and their potential impact on health, if applicable.

C. Plans

D: Extramural role and progress

ummarize your future plans for this work, and describe how the BtB funding enabled progress in your search. Please describe any publications, inventions and other related accomplishments resulting from s project.					

Part 1 of 5: Progress Report Summary (continued)

Part 1 of 5: Progress Report Summary (continued)	

Part 1013. Bench-to-bedside Program Assessment				
D. Extramural Collaborator/s Role/s and progress				

Part 2 of 5: Bench-to-Bedside Program Assessment

Funds Distribution Assessment in Year 2 of your project:

	Yes	No	Explain
In Year 2 of your project, did you receive funding in a timely manner to conduct your project as planned?			
Were you able to use funds as anticipated in your proposal?			
Were funds adequate to complete your project and meet objectives?			
Did you need to re-allocate funding during the project? If yes, please explain.			
Do you have any suggestions to impressions to impressions.	ove pro	ogram	management?

Part 3 of 5: Accompanying Materials

If you have supporting materials to accompany this progress report (e.g., protocol information, publications, etc.), submit these documents along with your report through proposalCentral.				
Please indicate the number of publications resulting from this project:				
Please list the names of the resulting publications:				

Part 4 of 5: Summary of Accomplishments To-Date:

Activity	Number Resulting from BtB	Name(s)
Invention reports	3	
Invention reports		
Patents pending		
Patents awarded		
New licensed drugs/devices or new indications for previously licensed drugs		
New grants or additional funding to support project long term		
Please provide the following informa include protocols which are partially		
Protocol:		
Please check the appropriate box:	New Protocol □	Amended Protocol \square
Date of Protocol Approval or Amendme	nt:	
Study Title:		
Protocol Number:		
Protocol Institution:		
Additional Protocol site(s):		
If your project is pre-clinical and does not the near future? (3-5 sentences if applic		rs, will it evolve into a clinical protocol in

	#	Comment
Number of new patients accrued as a result of BtB funding:		
Additional accruals expected as a result of BtB funds:		

Part 5 of 5: Evaluation of BtB Collaborations

Satisfaction with collaboration (both intramural-only and intramural-extramural collaborations):					ons):
	1 Inadequate	2 Poor	3 Satisfactory	4 Good	5 Excellent
Acceptance of new ideas					
Communication among collaborators					
Ability to capitalize on the strengths of different researchers					
Organization or structure of collaborative teams					
Resolution of conflicts among collaborators					
Ability to accommodate different working styles of collaborators					
Involvement of collaborators from outside your home institution / institute.					
Involvement of collaborators from diverse disciplines					

Impact of collaboration: (both intramural-only and intramural-extramural collaborations)

impact of conaboration. (both intramural-only and intramural-extramural conaborations)					
	1	_2	3	4	5
	Inadequate	Poor	Satisfactory	Good	Excellent
Productivity of collaboration meetings					
Productivity in developing new products]
(e.g., papers, proposals, courses)		Ш	Ш	Ш	Ш
Overall productivity of collaboration			П	П	П
	Strongly	Somewhat	Not sure	Somewhat	Ctropoly
	Strongly	Somewhat	NOL Sure	Somewhat	Strongly
	disagree	disagree	Not Sure	agree	agree
In general, collaboration has improved	• •				•
your research productivity	• •				•
your research productivity In general, collaboration has improved	• •				• •
your research productivity	• •				•
your research productivity In general, collaboration has improved	• •				•

^{*}ratings adapted from L. Masse, R. Moser, et.al. 2008. Am J Prev Med; 35 (2S): 151-160.

Please comment on your extramural partnerships: (if applicable)

Please comment on your extramural partnersh	Choose one	Comment
Was the idea for your project initiated by the	CHOOSE OHE	Comment
Was the idea for your project initiated by the intramural, extramural, or both investigators?		
Was it difficult for you (as intramural PI) to identify extramural collaborators for this project?		
Were you contacted by an extramural investigator to serve as the Intramural PI?		
Did the outside partner add value?		
Did basic and clinical collaborations lead to long-term relationships?		
Was there an exchange of fellows between intramural and extramural labs as a result of the collaboration?		
Was it difficult to form collaborative partnerships for this project?		
Did intramural and extramural investigators visit each other during the project?		
Did the project stimulate new sabbaticals for either intramural or extramural investigators?		
Did intramural patients go to extramural sites? If so, for what purpose?		
Did extramural patients come to the NIH Clinical Center? If so, for what purpose?		
Did project result in intramural investigators being recruited to extramural institutions/positions or vice versa?		
Were communications with extramural partners facilitated by this award?		
Did this award promote awareness of NIH and CC resources for your extramural partners?		
Did medical students participate in the project?		
Did the Bench-to-Bedside award result in a long term project that continued (will continue) after Bench-to-Bedside funding?		